

Audit committees:

practical guidance for
local authorities and police

2022 edition

cipfa.org/

The **Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy** (CIPFA) is the professional body for people in public finance. Our 14,000 members work throughout the public services, in national audit agencies, major accountancy firms and other bodies where public money needs to be effectively and efficiently managed. As the world's only professional accountancy body to specialise in public services, CIPFA's qualifications are the foundation for a career in public finance. We also champion high performance in public services, translating our experience and insight into clear advice and practical services. Globally, CIPFA leads the way in public finance by standing up for sound public financial management and good governance.

Guiding the audit committee

Supplement to the audit
committee member guidance

2022 edition

cipfa.org/

Published by:

CIPFA \ The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy

77 Mansell Street, London E1 8AN

020 7543 5600 \ customerservices@cipfa.org \ www.cipfa.org

© October 2022 CIPFA

ISBN for this publication: 978 1 84508 5 650

ISBN for complete suite of Audit Committees: Practical Guidance for Local Authorities and Police (2022 edition): 978 1 84508 5 636

No responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication can be accepted by the authors or publisher.

While every care has been taken in the preparation of this publication, it may contain errors for which the publisher and authors cannot be held responsible.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, only with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers at the above mentioned address.

Introduction

This section is aimed at officers responsible for guiding the audit committee. It will also be a useful reference guide for an audit committee member wanting to develop greater understanding of the committee's role or access additional resources.

It identifies the underpinning legislation, regulations, guidance or resources that the committee should be referencing in its operations.

It is a supplement to the guides *Audit committee member in a local authority* and the *Police audit committee member* and should be read in conjunction with the appropriate guide. It includes information for both authorities and police bodies.

Contents

CORE FUNCTIONS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	7
MAINTENANCE OF GOVERNANCE, RISK AND CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS.....	7
Good governance	7
Ethical values.....	8
Risk management.....	8
Internal controls.....	8
FINANCIAL AND GOVERNANCE REPORTING.....	11
Financial reporting.....	11
Annual governance statements	12
Other accountability reports	12
SUPPORTING ARRANGEMENTS FOR AUDIT AND ASSURANCE.....	12
Assurance frameworks and assurance planning.....	12
External audit	13
Internal audit.....	17
GUIDING THE AUDIT COMMITTEE – WIDER FUNCTIONS	19
WIDENING THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE.....	19
WALES	19
TREASURY MANAGEMENT	19
SUPPORTING CORPORATE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMMES.....	20
INDEPENDENCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY	21
INTRODUCTION	21
SECTOR AND DEVOLVED GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE	21
STRUCTURE AND INDEPENDENCE.....	22
Ensuring a dedicated audit committee	22
Committee size.....	22
Audit committees for collaborations.....	23
Delegated decision making and voting rights.....	23
ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	23
ACCOUNTABILITY AND THE ANNUAL REPORT	24
MEMBERSHIP AND EFFECTIVENESS	25
COMPOSITION AND OPERATION OF THE COMMITTEE.....	25
POLITICAL BALANCE.....	25
APPOINTING THE CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE.....	26
CO-OPTED INDEPENDENTS	26
Definition of a co-opted independent or lay member	26
MAKING BEST USE OF INDEPENDENT MEMBERS	27
Role descriptions for co-opted independent members.....	27
Selection process.....	28
Supporting members of the committee.....	28
Training needs analysis	30
Impact and effectiveness	30
APPENDICES	31

Core functions of the audit committee

MAINTENANCE OF GOVERNANCE, RISK AND CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS

Good governance

Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (CIPFA/Solace 2016) is principles based and informs the approach to good governance adopted by police, local authorities and fire and rescue authorities. CIPFA recommends that each authority develops a local code of governance setting out how it applies the principles in practice.

CIPFA's **Financial Management Code** (FM Code) also attributes responsibility for the Framework to the authority's leadership team. This supports the principle of assurance in the FM Code.

Other useful resources for evaluating governance and identifying governance risks include the research resource co-ordinated by the Centre for Governance and Scrutiny, **The governance risk and resilience framework**. This sets out the risks to good governance and can be used as part of the evaluation process for the annual governance statement (AGS) or as part of other governance development work. Further information on the AGS is included in **Accountability and the annual report**.

Where an authority is found to have failed in its statutory obligations to provide best value, the government has the power to intervene. The audit committee is one of the mechanisms an authority should have in place to identify, escalate and oversee the improvement of any governance weaknesses.

In Wales, the **Local Government and Elections (Wales) Act 2021** provides for intervention by Welsh ministers to increase the extent to which a council is meeting the performance requirements.

Key references

Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (CIPFA/Solace, 2016) ('the Framework') sets the standard for governance in UK local government bodies. The Framework is supported by guidance notes for each sector (CIPFA/Solace, 2016) as follows.

- **Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Guidance Notes for English Authorities**
- **Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Guidance Notes for Scottish Authorities**
- **Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Guidance Notes for Welsh Authorities**
- **Delivering Good Governance: Guidance Notes for Policing Bodies in England and Wales**

Accounts and audit regulations (various according to location in the UK)

The governance risk and resilience framework (Centre for Governance and Scrutiny, 2021)

Ethical values

As part of the annual governance review, the audit committee should consider how effectively the **Seven Principles of Public Life** are supported and whether the arrangements in place to achieve Principle A of the governance framework are fit for purpose:

Behaving with integrity, demonstrating a strong commitment to ethical values, and respecting the rule of law.

Authorities have a standards committee to oversee member conduct and review complaints. This is not a function of the audit committee and combining the two committees should be avoided.

The standards framework for elected members in England was established by the **Localism Act 2011**. English local authorities have a statutory duty to promote and maintain high standards of conduct, and the audit committee should consider assurances on the discharge of this responsibility and be satisfied that there are arrangements in place. The Committee on Standards in Public Life last reviewed standards in local government in 2019. The report recommended some amendments to the Act, but in its 2022 response, the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) did not commit to these. This remains an active topic that the audit committee should be aware of, and it should understand the steps its authority is taking to promote and ensure good ethical arrangements are in place.

The **Public Sector Internal Audit Standards** (PSIAS) include the ethical framework as a specific area of governance for internal audit work.

Whistleblowing arrangements support the development of ethical conduct and greater transparency and help authorities ensure compliance with the **Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998**. As part of the audit committee's oversight of the governance framework and assurances underpinning the AGS, the committee may wish to review the effectiveness of the whistleblowing arrangements.

Useful resources

Upholding Standards in Public Life (Committee on Standards in Public Life, 2021)

Risk management

In determining the audit committee's responsibilities towards risk management, authorities should have regard to the responsibilities of other committees such as scrutiny committees and the specific responsibilities of those charged with governance in relation to risk management. Where a local authority establishes a separate risk committee, then its roles and responsibilities need to be considered in determining the role of the audit committee.

Police audit committees are directed in the **Financial Management Code of Practice for the Police Forces of England and Wales** (FMCP) (Home Office, 2018) to advise the PCC and the chief constable on the adoption of appropriate risk management arrangements.

Welsh local authority and English combined authority audit committees are required to review and assess risk management arrangements.

Internal controls

Internal control arrangements will be informed by service requirements and guidance specific to individual areas.

Financial management

Regarding financial management arrangements, CIPFA's FM Code is a helpful resource to guide and evaluate the adequacy of financial management. The results of the assessment should be shared with the audit committee.

Audit committees in local authorities in Wales and in combined authorities are required to review and scrutinise the authority's financial affairs. Robust arrangements for financial control, understanding financial risks and longer-term financial planning will provide assurance to the committee. The review of financial statements also supports this objective. Care should be taken to not overlap with financial scrutiny. Tasks such as budget monitoring should not be undertaken by the committee.

Value for money and best value arrangements

Making best use of resources is a key objective for all local authorities and is part of the Framework. One of the behaviours and actions that underpin Principle C of the Framework is "delivering defined outcomes on a sustainable basis within the resources that will be available." When the AGS is prepared, the adequacy and effectiveness of arrangements to secure value for money (VfM) should be included, as per CIPFA guidance.

One specific area of activity for the committee will be consideration of the external auditor's wider work, as set out in the codes of audit practice and other guidance adopted by national audit bodies as follows.

- **England:** statutory value for money commentary as defined by the [National Audit Office](#).
- **Scotland:** periodic reviews of best value from [Audit Scotland](#).
- **Wales:** annual improvement reports from [Audit Wales](#).
- **Northern Ireland:** a review of arrangements for the use of resources from [Northern Ireland Audit Office](#).

When the external auditor raises concerns about VfM, the audit committee should ensure there is a robust action plan to address the issues raised. A significant VfM failing is likely to lead to a formal recommendation or report in the public interest. In addition to the work of the auditor, the audit committee should consider what other assurances are available in relation to identified VfM risks and highlight areas for improvement.

The [Cities and Local Government Devolution Act 2016](#) requires the audit committee of a combined authority to review and assess the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of resource use in discharging the authority's functions. By reviewing the adequacy of the authority's arrangements, including aspects such as performance management, financial planning and procurement, the audit committee will be able to report and make recommendations to fulfil this responsibility. Where risks to value for money are identified to the audit committee – for example, through the work of internal audit – those concerns need to be escalated for management action.

Countering fraud and corruption

The audit committee should include counter fraud and corruption within its agenda. This should not be limited to an update to the committee on a significant case of fraud or corruption. The audit committee's responsibility to have oversight of arrangements means that they should be able to judge whether the authority's arrangements are fit for purpose

CIPFA's [Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption](#) (2014) sets out the counter fraud standards for public sector organisations. Sector-specific strategies such as [fighting fraud and corruption locally](#) should also be considered, along with [Integrity matters](#)

(HMICFRS, 2015). The committee should understand the level of fraud risk the authority is exposed to and the implications for the wider control environment.

The audit committee should have oversight of the authority's counter fraud strategy, assessing whether it meets recommended practice and governance standards and complies with legislation such as the **Bribery Act 2010**.

The CIPFA guidance on the AGS recommends that the adequacy of counter fraud arrangements is evaluated and reported on in the AGS with reference to the *Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption*. The audit committee should have sight of the assurances underpinning this assessment and can play an important role in supporting the development of effective counter fraud and corruption practice. The audit committee may also refer to the Internal Audit Standards Advisory Board's (IASAB) guidance on **internal audit's role in counter fraud** (2017), which sets out internal audit's responsibility to provide assurance to the organisation on how it manages fraud risk.

Partnership governance, collaborations and subsidiaries

Ensuring that the audit committee has oversight of significant collaboration arrangements or the governance arrangements for the authority's partnerships is important. This is an area of assurance that should be planned as new arrangements are established as well as considered regularly.

Guidance is available in CIPFA publications, including **Successful Collaborations in the Public Services: The Role of Internal Audit** (2021). This highlights some of the governance risks that should be considered in collaborative arrangements, together with the role of internal audit. It highlights the need for the audit committee's oversight of internal audit to extend to their work on collaborations.

CIPFA's **Effective Governance of Collaboration in Policing** (2021) provides an overview of the nature of collaborations in policing and guidance on governance for those arrangements, and other relevant guidance is included in **Principles for section 151 officers working with LEPs** (2018).

Recently, authorities that have the general power of competence have established trading companies to operate more commercial activities, including delivery of services and commercial investments. Some authorities have experienced difficulties with these activities, so the governance, oversight and risk management arrangements in them are likely to be of considerable interest to the audit committee. CIPFA has issued new guidance in 2022 in **Local Authority Owned Companies: A Good Practice Guide**.

In addition to reviewing assurances over partnerships, the committee may choose to develop its own partnership arrangements with the audit committees of partner organisations. This could involve planning and co-ordinating agendas or developing forums to share ideas or briefings. More established partnerships could lead to the development of a shared audit committee between partner authorities or a joint committee.

FINANCIAL AND GOVERNANCE REPORTING

Financial reporting

Local authority financial statements should follow the professional practices set down in the **Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom** (CIPFA/LASAAC). The responsible financial officer is required by statutory provision to sign the statements to confirm that they have been properly prepared and are ready for audit prior to the commencement of the period for the exercise of public rights. These are the unaudited accounts. Currently, deadlines are subject to change because of the COVID-19 pandemic (and because of difficulties resourcing local audit in England). Therefore, it will be important to check the current regulations applicable.

At the time of publication, the latest regulations were as follows.

- In England, **The Accounts and Audit (Amendment) Regulations 2021**. This amends the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015.
- In Wales, **The Accounts and Audit (Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2018**. This amends the Accounts and Audit (Wales) Regulations 2014.
- In Scotland, **The Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014**.
- In Northern Ireland, **The Local Government (Accounts and Audit) (Coronavirus) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2020**. This amends the Local Government (Accounts and Audit) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2015.

Further details about the requirements of the regulations are in Appendix A.

Prior to the current period of disruption, there had been a trend to reduce the elapsed time from the end of the financial year to the publication of audited statements. For example, under the 2015 regulations for English authorities and policing bodies, in practice, the latest date by which the statements would need to be confirmed (by the responsible finance officer) is 31 May, as the 30 working day period for the exercise of public rights must include the first ten days of June. In CIPFA's view, the timely availability of the statements is important for transparency and accountability.

Under the regulations, Scottish and Northern Irish authorities must all ensure that the financial statements are signed off by the chief financial officer (CFO) by 30 June. The Accounts and Audit (Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2018 require preparation and publication to be completed by 15 June. Authorities have had flexibility to delay due to COVID-19, but deadlines will revert to those specified in regulations at an appropriate point.

CIPFA recommends that it is good practice for the accounts and the AGS to be reviewed by the audit committee prior to the commencement of the external audit. The committee will also review them at the completion of the audit prior to publication. Authorities will formally approve the financial statements after the completion of the external audit.

The date the statements must be published by is set down in government regulations. Prior to COVID-related amendments, the latest date for publication was 31 July for English authorities. Scottish and Welsh authorities must publish by 30 September, and Northern Irish authorities must publish by 31 October. These dates are intended to reflect the target for the completion of the audit. However, meeting the deadline is not a statutory requirement for the auditor; auditors will aim to meet this date, but their primary concern is to complete the audit to the required auditing standard.

The financial statements are the most technical aspect of the audit committee's remit and one that can be daunting for those elected members with no prior experience of financial reporting or

external audit. The differences in financial reporting practice in the accounts can also be daunting for those used to the presentation of company accounts. Additional attention should therefore be paid to this area when evaluating training needs.

Annual governance statements

Legislation requires local authorities, fire and rescue authorities and police bodies to prepare an AGS and to report publicly on the effectiveness of governance and control (see Appendix A for details of the legislative requirements). The AGS should be reviewed and then approved by an authority body prior to being signed by the leading member and the authority's chief executive. Typically, audit committees undertake the role of reviewing the AGS prior to approval.

Statutory and professional guidelines will determine when the AGS goes before the audit committee for review. These are set out in **Financial reporting** and in more detail in Appendix A. CIPFA recommends that the AGS is first reviewed by members of the audit committee at an earlier stage to allow comments and contributions to be made. The AGS must be current at the time it is published, so the audit committee should review it before final approval.

When the AGS is taken to the audit committee for review, it provides an opportunity to sense check the content, ensuring it is consistent with other information and is understandable to a lay reader.

The audit committee should be made aware of any updates to guidance on the AGS issued by CIPFA.

In March 2022, CIPFA's Practice Oversight Panel published an **advisory note on governance**. The note emphasises the importance of ensuring that annual reviews of governance and the AGS are robust. An effective audit committee is one important aspect of ensuring adequate internal challenge.

Other accountability reports

The audit committee may be asked to comment on or review other accountability reports. If this is consistent with the purpose of the committee, then it may be appropriate – for example, if the report included extracts from the financial statements or AGS. It should always be clear whether the committee is being asked to formally endorse any report and consider whether this involves duplication with the work of other committees.


SUPPORTING ARRANGEMENTS FOR AUDIT AND ASSURANCE

Assurance frameworks and assurance planning

The organisation's leadership team should establish arrangements to provide them with assurance. The purpose of assurance is to provide greater certainty over the operation of internal control arrangements and the implementation of policies and decisions. In practice, an organisation will have a range of different means of obtaining assurance, some of which will already be embedded in management arrangements. Taken together, the suite of assurances forms the framework of assurance for the organisation. Some organisations have taken steps to map or summarise their arrangements in diagrammatic or tabular form.

CIPFA is in the process of establishing more detailed guidance for leadership teams on assurance frameworks, including high-level principles to define assurance frameworks. The purpose of this will be to raise awareness of assurance and the different forms it can take. Developing CIPFA guidance will include the **Three Lines Model** developed by the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA).

Figure 1: The IIA's Three Lines Model



Copyright © 2020 by The Institute of Internal Auditors, Inc. ("The IIA"). All rights reserved.

Having a good understanding of different sources of assurance is important:

- for managers and the leadership team, to have confidence in how things work in practice
- for the audit committee, to help them fulfil their responsibilities
- for internal auditors, to inform risk assessments, supplement the direct independent audit work the team undertakes, and to inform the head of internal audit's annual opinion.

As well as understanding the organisation's arrangements for obtaining assurance, the audit committee should ensure that it receives the assurances necessary to support its annual report and to play its part in reviewing the AGS. While internal audit and external audit will be significant contributors to the overall assurance, the committee will need to be proactive in specifying additional areas where assurance is needed.

External audit

Audit committees have a role to play in relation to the appointment of external auditors. This role varies between England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, primarily due to the change in appointment procedures for English authorities with the closure of the Audit Commission and the introduction of new local audit arrangements under the **Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014**.

In Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, national audit agencies are responsible for the audits of local bodies. In England, authorities have the option to appoint auditors themselves via means of an auditor panel (individually or jointly with other bodies) or through Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA), which has been established by the Local Government Association (LGA) and specified as an 'appointing person' under the 2014 Act. For further information on auditor panels and the role of the audit committee, see CIPFA's **Guide to Auditor Panels** (2015).

The audit committee's role in appointment is generally to express an opinion on the selection and rotation of the external auditor through whichever method is applicable for the organisation. The audit committee's objective is to support auditor independence and effective arrangements and relationships with the auditors.

In England, for all opted-in bodies, PSAA appoints the auditor following consultation with the body. Otherwise, the audit committee will work alongside the auditor panel, which will oversee the local appointment process. Where the audit committee members meet the requirements of an auditor panel as defined in regulations supporting the 2014 Act, then the committee can operate as an auditor panel itself and make recommendations on the appointment of the local auditor. Regard must be had for the 2014 Act and regulations if the committee is nominated as an auditor panel.

Supporting independence

The independence of auditors is critical for confidence in the audit opinion and audit process. For this reason, there is extensive guidance from the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) for external auditors on the need to safeguard independence and objectivity. These rules apply to all auditors across all sectors. In addition, the national audit bodies issue guidance to auditors on safeguarding integrity, objectivity and independence. It is an important role for an audit committee to help guard against threats to independence and to satisfy itself that the external auditor's independence is safeguarded. The critical issue of independence will be considered when the external auditor is appointed, but the audit committee's role will be to monitor on an annual basis, or more often when required.

Each year, the external auditor will disclose to the committee an assessment of whether it is independent. This disclosure should include any significant facts that could impact, or be seen to impact on, independence and objectivity, together with any safeguards put in place. The audit committee should use this opportunity to discuss with the external auditor their assessment of threats to independence and any safeguards. The table below summarises the potential threats that external auditors need to consider and manage.

Understanding the potential threats to external independence

Self-interest threat

This is where there are, or are perceived to be, financial or other interests that could impact on the actions of the external auditor. The potential fees from provision of non-audit or additional services to the audited body could fall within this category.

Self-review threat

This is where the audit could include a review of work performed or services or advice provided by the same firm or team.

Management threat

This is where the auditor has become involved in or associated with decision making at the audited body.

Advocacy threat

This is where the auditor has taken on an advocacy role for the audited body or supports the management in an adversarial or promotional context.

Familiarity (or trust) threat

This is where familiarity or close personal relationships mean that the external auditor is insufficiently questioning or accepting in forming audit judgements.

Intimidation threat

This is where the conduct of the external auditor is influenced by fear or threats from individuals in the audited body.

Full details of the threats are set out in the [Revised Ethical Standard 2019](#) (FRC).

Receiving and considering the work of external audit

The timetable of external audit work will be shaped by the appropriate Code of Audit Practice under which the audit is being undertaken and the appropriate regulations of the nation.

From time to time, there may be disruption to the routine timetable of audit. For example, the impact of the pandemic and recent difficulties experienced in England by local audit firms have contributed to delays. Where this is the case, the audit committee should actively monitor changes to timetables and audit plans, supporting good communication between the auditor and the authority to manage difficulties in the best possible way. Those officers responsible for liaising with the external auditor should ensure the audit committee is kept informed and any difficulties drawn to their attention at an appropriate stage.

One of the recommendations of the Redmond Review ([Independent review into the oversight of local audit and the transparency of local authority financial reporting](#)) was that the external audit annual report should be submitted to full council by the external auditor. The rationale behind the recommendation was that there were examples where serious issues raised with the audit committee by the external auditor were not then escalated to full council. As all councillors are “charged with governance”, they should be aware of serious issues and the overall results of the audit.

In addition, full council is more visible to the public than the audit committee, so it supports greater transparency. When this recommendation was considered by the stakeholder group advising DLUHC, it was considered important for the audit committee to receive the external auditor’s reports, allowing more in-depth discussion and formulation of recommendations for action in response to issues raised. It suggested that the annual report should be presented to full council in the way suggested by Sir Tony to improve transparency and strengthen the governance response, but it should also be accompanied by a report from the audit committee setting out its suggested response to the report. This was discussed in the technical consultation issued in July 2021 and CIPFA would support this approach.

Although the specific recommendation related to English local authorities, CIPFA would recommend that authorities in the rest of the UK also consider adopting a similar approach, if they do not do so already.

Supporting the quality and effectiveness of the external audit process

The audit committee should support the quality and effectiveness of the external audit process:

- at the planning stage, understanding and commenting on external audit plans, assessment of risks and proposed areas of focus, and deployment of audit effort in response to identified risks
- during the external audit process, including:
 - assessing whether the external auditor has a good understanding of the authority
 - how the external auditor has responded to areas of audit risk
 - actions taken to safeguard independence and objectivity
- at the reporting and finalisation stages:
 - ensuring there is feedback from key people such as the CFO and the head of internal audit on the conduct of the audit
 - supporting the auditor’s annual report to the full council, PCC, chief constable or other body as appropriate on the results of its considerations with the audit committee report.

In monitoring the quality of the external audit provision, the audit committee should be briefed on any relevant issues around quality that emerge from the regulation of external audit – for example, the quality reports from the FRC and contract monitoring reports from PSAA. Links to useful resources are provided at the end of the section.

There should be an opportunity for the audit committee to meet privately and separately with the external auditor, independent of the presence of those officers with whom the auditor must retain a working relationship.

Supporting audit quality

The audit committee should be an advocate for high audit quality. Ensuring that quality is maintained provides confidence in the audit opinion and recommendations. In its interactions with the auditors, the committee should be alert to the culture of the auditor and its regard for quality, its customer focus and how the firm creates a positive audit culture. The FRC's recent report [What Makes a Good Audit?](#) (2021) highlights the importance of governance and leadership in the audit firm.

Senior leadership must live and drive the right values, ethics and behaviours that support high audit quality throughout the firm. There must be a clear link between remuneration/ promotion and audit quality. Learning from audit inspections (internal and external) must be shared across the audit practice and understood, including the sharing of good practice identified within the firm or from external reviews. There must also be an emphasis on the public interest role of audit. At the larger firms, Independent Non-Executives (INEs) already have an important role to play in holding leadership to account for improving audit quality and for driving an appropriate culture and mindset within audit.

What Makes a Good Audit? (FRC, 2021)

The committee should ask about the auditor's approach to audit quality, including the support and training provided to the team on specialist areas within the scope of the audit. This is a particular consideration in England where audits are undertaken by a firm, as the team may not consist of auditors with extensive local audit experience. It should be noted that the key audit partner must have that experience and knowledge. This was an issue raised by the Redmond Review, and work to improve and support auditors is ongoing. For the firms, achieving improvements in this area is challenging, given wider issues around shortages of appropriate skilled auditors.

The audit committee needs to work with auditors and key officers to ensure that there is a shared understanding of objectives, expectations and outcomes from the audit. It is likely that the committee members will need support and training to improve their knowledge and understanding in this area unless they have had prior experience.

Where there are difficulties in the relationship between auditor and client, the audit committee should seek to support and resolve in an objective way that helps the delivery of a quality and timely audit.

Assessing audit quality

In determining the committee's role in reporting on external audit, CIPFA has taken account of requirements in other sectors. The [UK Corporate Governance Code](#) (FRC, 2018) expects the audit committee to report annually on its assessment of the independence and effectiveness of external audit. The audit committee annual report should also include a section on external audit. This is addressed in [Independence and accountability](#).

The FRC published [Audit Quality: Practice Aid for Audit Committees](#) in 2019 to help support audit committees. It should be noted that this is not tailored to audit committees in local

government, but some general principles remain relevant for an authority committee. Suggested good practice would be to consider the following areas:

- How the auditor interacts with the audit committee. Examples could include how auditors respond to questions or challenge from the committee and whether communications are open, constructive, informative and regular.
- Evidence from management. For example, the audit committee could ask management for evidence where auditors have challenged judgements and estimates.
- Asking auditors how they have demonstrated professional scepticism.
- Considering how well the auditor has met the agreed audit plan and addressed the risks it has identified.
- The quality of the audit report. For example, is it helpful and does it avoid generic 'boiler plate' content?

The audit committee should also review reports and assessments on their contracted auditor. The national audit bodies publish external reviews of their quality arrangements, and the FRC publishes an annual report of the local audit quality reviews undertaken for each firm. The committee should consider the conclusions on audit quality and areas for improvement and discuss with the auditor if appropriate. In England, PSAA may also publish reviews of interest to the committee on their monitoring of the audit contracts and satisfaction surveys, etc.

References and useful resources

[Financial audit quality arrangements](#) (Audit Wales)

[Major Local Audits: Audit Quality Inspection](#) (FRC, 2021)

[Managing audit quality](#) (PSAA)

[Quality of public audit in Scotland annual report 2021/22](#) (Audit Scotland)

[Quality assurance review 2021 executive summary](#) (Northern Ireland Audit Office)

Internal audit

The audit committee has a clear role in relation to oversight of the authority's internal audit function. From 1 April 2013, internal auditors throughout local and central government and health have had to follow the PSIAS, and for local government bodies, the [Local Government Application Note \(LGAN\) for the UK PSIAS](#) (CIPFA, 2019). All principal local authorities and other relevant bodies subject to the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, the Accounts and Audit (Wales) Regulations 2014, the Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014 and the Local Government (Accounts and Audit) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2015 must make provision for internal audit in accordance with the PSIAS and LGAN.

The PSIAS include the mission of internal audit, code of ethics, definition of internal auditing and the core principles for the professional practice of internal auditing, and together these highlight the importance of effective internal audit to those in the organisation who are responsible for governance.

In its adoption of the PSIAS and LGAN, each authority should consider which committee or individual is the most appropriate to fulfil the role of the board in relation to internal audit. In determining the functional reporting arrangements of internal audit, the authority will need to bear in mind the need to preserve the independence and objectivity of internal audit as required by the PSIAS. It is for these reasons that in the LGAN, CIPFA expressed an expectation that the audit committee would fulfil the role of the board usually.

The role of the audit committee in relation to internal audit is to:

- oversee its independence, objectivity, performance and professionalism
- support the effectiveness of the internal audit process
- promote the effective use of internal audit within the assurance framework.

Those audit committees that operate under the Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014 and the Accounts and Audit (Wales) Regulations 2014 must conduct a review of the effectiveness of their internal audit annually (Appendix A includes details of the relevant regulations). The audit committee should consider internal audit's quality assurance and improvement programme (QAIP) when conducting such a review.

The **CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Head of Internal Audit in Public Service Organisations** (2019) states that engagement between the head of internal audit and the audit committee is a crucial component of delivering an effective internal audit service. For the head of internal audit to operate an effective internal audit arrangement, the authority has a responsibility to ensure that they can operate effectively and perform their core duties. This responsibility exists regardless of the method of delivery of internal audit, whether in-house, outsourced or through some form of shared arrangement. The audit committee plays an important role in supporting the effectiveness of those arrangements in accordance with the CIPFA statement.

The committee should develop sufficient understanding of the effectiveness of internal audit and its adherence to professional standards. While helping to ensure that the right organisational arrangements are in place to support the internal audit service, the committee should also hold internal audit to account for the following:

- Conformance with professional standards.
- Effective management of resources.
- Focus on risks and assurance needs.
- Delivery of required outputs.
- Impact.

In 2022, CIPFA published **Internal audit: untapped potential** – a research report examining how internal audit supports public sector organisations and opportunities for the future. It features the results of an extensive survey that included management clients and audit committee members, as well as internal auditors. Included within are recommended topics that audit committee members should consider and discuss with their head of internal audit to help ensure that their organisation is getting the best out of internal audit.

Guiding the audit committee – wider functions

WIDENING THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE

This chapter sets out relevant guidance where additional responsibilities are brought within the scope of the committee. The scope should not be extended so broadly that the committee effectively becomes a joint committee with other priorities. The areas covered in the guidance are relevant as a result of legislation or other standards.

WALES

Governance and audit committees in Wales will need to have regard to the Local Government and Elections Wales Act 2021 in agreeing their terms of reference and conducting business.

The significant difference is the requirement to review the draft self-assessment or panel assessment report and make recommendations. The performance assessments are a new requirement introduced by the 2021 Act. Statutory guidance has been produced in [Performance and governance of principal councils](#) (2021).

In undertaking this role, CIPFA recommends distinguishing between the committee and the performance monitoring that scrutiny committees will have undertaken, which will be a contributory part of the self-assessment.

To enable the committee to perform its role effectively, members will need to be briefed on the requirements of the Act and supporting statutory guidance.

TREASURY MANAGEMENT

CIPFA's [Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross-sectoral Guidance Notes](#) (2021) requires all local authorities to adopt four clauses defining responsibilities for treasury management.

It is possible for the audit committee to be nominated to undertake the scrutiny role.

This organisation nominates (name of responsible body/committee) to be responsible for ensuring effective scrutiny of the treasury management strategy and policies.

Where the audit committee has been nominated, it should be aware that it needs to undertake a scrutiny role in accordance with the Treasury Management Code, in addition to any oversight of governance, risks and assurance matters relating to treasury management that it would consider as an audit committee. It is not appropriate for the audit committee to undertake any of the other roles outlined in the Treasury Management Code clauses, as these are executive and decision-making roles rather than a scrutiny role.

Treasury management is a specialist area, so it is likely that committee members will require training, guidance and support when undertaking scrutiny. Specific areas of knowledge and skills are identified in Appendix C, and these can be used to support a training needs assessment and to plan development.

SUPPORTING CORPORATE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMMES

Where an authority has undergone an external inspection, peer review or other intervention, an improvement programme will be developed. It will be important for the audit committee to play its part in supporting the effective implementation of agreed actions.

The primary role of the committee is to receive assurances that implementation of the programme is on track. The programme will have links to external audit reports, future audit risk assessments and the AGS, so it is important that the committee is aware of the overall progress. A significant programme may have a dedicated board that can provide an update to the committee to fulfil its assurance needs.

There may be aspects of the programme that directly link to the work of the committee – for example, the adequacy of financial management arrangements or internal audit. In such cases, the committee may be more actively involved in monitoring progress.

Independence and accountability

INTRODUCTION

CIPFA is keen that each local authority or police body adopts an audit committee model that achieves its purpose and functions successfully. CIPFA's recommended best practice is intended to support the development of effective arrangements and should not be regarded merely as a compliance checklist.

For a local authority, in CIPFA's view, it is best practice for the audit committee to report directly to council rather than to another committee, as the council itself most closely matches the body of 'those charged with governance.' In the police sector, both the PCC and chief constable are separate corporations sole, so each will fulfil the role of 'those charged with governance.'

In establishing the audit committee within the governance structure of the authority, three key elements should be considered:

- Any statutory guidance applicable to the sector.
- Independence from the executive and political allegiances.
- How the structure of the committee can support its effective operation.

Each of these elements is considered in more detail in the following sections.

SECTOR AND DEVOLVED GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE

The local authority and police sectors are subject to differing laws and regulations according to both sector and devolved national governments. Those affecting audit committees are set out in Appendix A. While there is broad similarity in the guidance across the UK, there are differences because of specific statutory guidance and regulations, including:

- statutory requirements for audit committees in Wales
- statutory requirements for combined authorities to establish an audit committee
- statutory guidance underpinning the operation of police audit committees in England and Wales.

Audit committees in Wales have a clear statutory role established by the **Local Government (Wales) Measure 2011** and updated in the **Local Government and Elections (Wales) Act 2021**. The Welsh Government has provided statutory guidance on the implementation of the measure's requirements, and local authorities in Wales must have regard to this guidance.

The Cities and Local Government Devolution Act 2016 requires combined authorities to establish an audit committee where at least one member must be appointed as an independent member.

The Home Office's **Financial Management Code of Practice for the Police Forces of England and Wales** (the FMCP) (2018) requires PCCs and chief constables to establish an independent audit committee. This is an advisory committee to both the PCC and the chief constable, both of whom are established as a corporation sole.

The **Policing and Crime Act 2017** enables a PCC in England (following local consultation and approval from the secretary of state) to take on the governance of their local fire and rescue service(s) to become a PCC fire and rescue authority (PCC FRA). The 2018 edition of the FMCP includes guidance concerning audit committees in this event.

Those supporting audit committees should be aware of other regulations, including the appropriate accounts and audit regulations for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. These regulate functions such as internal audit and the timing of the AGS review and the accounts. These functions should be undertaken by the audit committee, and the committee should have regard to the regulations.

STRUCTURE AND INDEPENDENCE

Ensuring a dedicated audit committee

In some local authorities, the audit committee has been combined with other committees. CIPFA recommends that the audit committee should have no other functions, and explicitly no decision-making role. The most common combinations adopted by authorities have been an audit and scrutiny committee or audit and standards committee. CIPFA recommends these are separated.

Sometimes the audit committee is given a different title, such as 'governance and audit' or 'audit and risk' committee. This would not be a problem if the terms of reference are consistent with the **CIPFA Position Statement**. Care should be taken to not delegate additional responsibilities that conflict with or dilute the audit committee focus.

The Centre for Governance and Scrutiny published **Audit committees and scrutiny committees: working together** (2021) to help understand the difference between scrutiny and audit committees. CIPFA contributed to the resource to help ensure it was consistent with its 2018 guidance on audit committees. It helps scrutiny members understand how the audit committee role is different but complementary and identifies opportunities for the committees to work constructively together.

Committee size

Police audit committees should follow the FMCP guidance on a committee size of three to five members. In determining size, consider the quorum for meetings, as three allows little flexibility for meeting quorum at every scheduled meeting.

The sections on **Membership** and **Accountability** cover the composition of the committee between elected members and co-opted independents in an authority.

Committee size and composition are subject to legislation in some local authorities but not all.

Appendix A sets out the legislation for Wales and combined authorities in England.

In sectors outside local government, it is typical for audit committees to have fewer than six members. Among local authorities, there is a range of practice, including some committees that exceed ten members. In the sector, it can be harder to achieve the depth of knowledge and experience that is desirable with a small number, but equally it can be difficult to provide training and development for a large group.

CIPFA's recommendation is that a committee size of no more than eight members should be established. This allows sufficient breadth of experience but is small enough to allow the training and development of a dedicated group.

CIPFA also recommends that the use of substitutes on the committee is avoided. Substitute members are less likely to have received relevant training. It also disrupts the continuity of the committee, where committee members can build on information received in previous meetings. We have found that where committee members are regularly substituted, it is an indication of lack of engagement with the committee's purpose and agenda.

Audit committees for collaborations

Where an authority has a significant level of joint or collaborative working, there may be some value in considering the constitution of a shared audit committee. This will be particularly appropriate if there is a shared management team and single functions for finance, audit and risk management. There will need to be a formal agreement that sets out how the balance of representation between the partners will be decided, reporting lines and how the chair will be selected.

Delegated decision making and voting rights

Currently, some authorities have delegated decisions such as the approval of the financial statements to the audit committee. This takes the audit committee beyond its advisory role. It also means that authorities will need to have regard to Section 13 of the **Local Government and Housing Act 1989**, which relates to the voting rights of non-elected committee members. Independent members cannot vote on matters for decision, whereas making recommendations to another decision-making body would not be impacted.

CIPFA recommends that the audit committee remains an advisory committee and does not have delegated powers.

ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

In planning the number and timing of meetings and the committee agendas, key dates in the reporting and audit cycle will be important. In addition, the need for the committee to meet the expectations of auditing standards (both internal and external audit) in providing appropriate oversight will mean space on agendas should be found for these topics.

The CIPFA *Statement on the Role of the Head of Internal Audit in Public Service Organisations* (2019) emphasises the importance of organisational support for the role, including direct reporting lines to the audit committee and good engagement between the committee and head of internal audit. Providing the facility for private meetings between the head of internal audit and the audit committee will signal the importance of maintaining the independence of internal audit.

While private meetings between the committee and internal and external audit help to build a trusted relationship, those concerned should always make sure that the meeting is not used as a substitute for escalating governance concerns with responsible officers. The private meeting should always be in accordance with other principles of good practice – non-political and supporting transparent and accountable good governance.

Ensuring there is good support for the committee in agenda planning, minute taking, guidance and communications will help to build member confidence in the committee.

Providing a protocol about access to information that includes provision for co-opted independents will help to ensure clarity for both the committee members and officers. Members need to be confident that they have sight of relevant information in a timely way. There may be operational reasons why information is not immediately available or has to be treated in confidence, but it is important to explain this adequately.

ACCOUNTABILITY AND THE ANNUAL REPORT

CIPFA has recommended that the audit committee should produce an annual report since the 2013 edition of this guidance. In this latest edition, the annual report is given greater prominence and has been included in the Position Statement. The report should be used to provide accountability to those charged with governance on compliance with the Position Statement and performance and provide assurance over the areas within its terms of reference.

The timing of the report will need to take account of whether there will be changes in committee membership – for example, because of elections or rotation of committee members at annual meetings. The conclusions of the report can support the AGS.

It is for the committee chair to prepare the annual report in consultation with the members, although some support may be required to check accuracy or provide supporting information. Developing the report will ensure the committee is better placed for any future policy developments that might expect more from accountability disclosures.

Membership and effectiveness

COMPOSITION AND OPERATION OF THE COMMITTEE

The composition of the committee will be one of the key factors in achieving a good audit committee. Some local government bodies will need to follow specific rules on composition. These are referenced below.

The composition of the audit committee for Welsh local authorities is determined by the Local Government and Elections (Wales) Act 2021, which requires local authority audit committees to have one-third lay members and a lay member as chair. The 2021 Act amended the Local Government Measure 2011, which established that only one of the committee's members may be from the council's executive, and this must not be the leader or the elected mayor.

Combined authorities in England are required to establish an audit committee by the Cities and Local Government Devolution Act 2016. The Act and the subsequent **Combined Authorities (Overview and Scrutiny Committees, Access to Information and Audit Committees) Order 2017** require combined authority audit committees to include at least one independent member. The definition of independent is set out in Part 4 of the Order.

The FMCP determined that audit committees in policing must be composed solely of independent members.

POLITICAL BALANCE

One factor that is important for the success of the committee is ensuring a non-political approach to meetings and discussions. Any audit committee that is a properly constituted committee of a local authority will need to abide by the rules concerning political balance, as outlined in Section 15 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. When establishing a joint audit committee, the political balance of both authorities will need to be considered.

The **Local Government (Democracy) (Wales) Act 2013** inserted a requirement into the 2011 Measure for the audit committee to be politically balanced. This has not changed as a result of the 2021 Act.

The Combined Authorities (Overview and Scrutiny Committees, Access to Information and Audit Committees) Order 2017 requires the audit committee to be politically balanced, taking into account the constituent councils.

Where the committee is strictly advisory and not subject to other requirements, full council can vote to waive the political balance requirement and specify other criteria to decide who should serve on the committee. For example, the selection of members with relevant knowledge and expertise could be prioritised.

The length of tenure of an elected representative on the committee will be influenced by the need to maintain political balance (if applicable) as well as other factors. In principle, establishing continuity on the committee is helpful to make the best of knowledge and experience. Some

rotation is also helpful to bring in a new perspective. CIPFA would suggest that two full terms on the committee would be a reasonable maximum.

APPOINTING THE CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE

Unless there is specific legislation or guidance in place on the selection of the committee chair, authorities can determine their own approach. To try and emphasise the independence and apolitical nature of the committee, some authorities specify that the position should be occupied by an 'opposition' member. This approach may be appropriate for some but will not be possible for all authorities – for example, where there are few opposition members.

CO-OPTED INDEPENDENTS

Definition of a co-opted independent or lay member

There are some definitions set out in legislation for some audit committees but not all.

The Local Government and Elections (Wales) Act 2021 defines a lay member as a person who:

- is not a member or an officer of any local authority
- has not been a member or an officer of any local authority in the last 12 months
- is not the spouse or civil partner of a member or officer.

Co-opted independent members of audit committees for combined authorities should be in accordance with the 2017 Order as follows.

- Not a member, co-opted member or officer of the authority.
- Not a member, co-opted member or officer of a parish council in the area of the principal authority.
- Not a relative or close friend of one of the above.
- Not a member, co-opted member or officer of the authority or parish council in the last five years.

There are no statutory definitions of 'independent' for audit committee members in local authorities in England, Scotland or Northern Ireland.

The independent members of the police audit committees in England and Wales must all be independent of the PCC and the force. The FMCP does not define this any further.

Where there is no established definition, authorities are recommended to establish their own policy before commencing recruitment. This will provide clarity to potential candidates and support the independence of the committee. CIPFA would suggest alignment to the combined authority requirements as the basis for a suitable policy.

As long as any requirements are met, it is possible for an individual to be a co-opted member of more than one local government body.

MAKING BEST USE OF INDEPENDENT MEMBERS

There are some potential pitfalls to the use of independent members that should also be borne in mind.

- Over-reliance on the independent members by other committee members in local authorities can lead to a lack of engagement across the full committee.
- A lack of organisational knowledge or 'context' among the independent members when considering risk registers or audit reports.
- Both independent members and officers/staff must try to establish an effective working relationship and appropriate protocols for briefings and access to information.

These factors should be considered when developing the committee structure and plans put in place to provide an appropriate level of support to the audit committee member.

Role descriptions for co-opted independent members

Where the authority is recruiting members to co-opt onto the audit committee, a clear role description should be established. The following areas should be addressed:

- The role of the co-opted independent, including expected time commitment and location of meetings.
- The suggested appointment period and options for renewal (two terms would be a suggested maximum appointment period).
- The definition of 'independent' applicable to the role.
- Any restrictions or conflicts of interest that would make a candidate unsuitable. As the role is non-political and requires working with elected representatives in a local authority, it is recommended that candidates should not be political party members.
- Vetting requirements (particularly for police committee members).
- Desired knowledge, experience and skills.
- Remuneration and expenses.
- The expected conditions of engagement, including adherence to the authority's code of conduct, disclosure of interests, etc.

Consideration should also be given to attracting suitable candidates. While it is up to each authority to decide, authorities may want to consider the following:

- Selecting candidates beyond the local area. If candidates are able and willing to travel to attend the required meetings and supporting activities, then living outside the authority area should not be a barrier to suitability.
- Working with other authorities in the region to promote and support opportunities to be an independent member.
- Advertising nationally or using specialist agencies if professional knowledge and skills are required.
- Promoting the role through means in addition to advertising. For example, a change to the constitution of the audit committee could be part of a wider governance improvement programme that could be publicised locally or through council channels of communication.
- Council orientation and support that will be provided in the role.

- The opportunity for an informal discussion on the role with a senior officer or committee chair.
- Ensuring an easy application process (see below).

Under the combined authorities order, the vacancy must be publicly advertised.

Selection process

The authority's HR team will be able to advise on the process for recruitment. Normal practice in recruitment for non-executive roles such as this will be a CV and supporting letter, and adopting this approach may assist in attracting candidates. This may be a deviation from the process of recruiting a member of staff, however, so early discussion with HR is advised.

The format of selection is for the authority to decide, but inclusion of the current committee chair in some way would be helpful for the candidate.

As well as a formal interview, candidates could be invited to meet the PCC, chief constable or deputy chief constable, senior councillors or officers to emphasise the value of the committee.

Supporting members of the committee

Establishing a training programme and ongoing support for committee members is essential to ensure that they can confidently and effectively discharge their responsibilities. Establishing a budget will ensure that training can be ongoing rather than ad hoc.

Exact needs will depend on the composition of the committee and members' existing knowledge and experience. The following table sets out the core elements.

Development area	Core content	Target audience
Induction – the authority	<p>Introduction to the authority, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • governance structures • plans, strategies and priorities of the authority • local government context. 	Primarily for co-opted independent members who are new to local government/police.
Induction – the audit committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terms of reference. • CIPFA Position Statement and guidance. • Key relationships – CFO, head of internal audit, external auditor, committee support. 	All members new to the committee.
Core knowledge areas	<p>Basic knowledge for all areas outlined in the knowledge framework.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good governance and ethical framework • Risk management • Internal controls • Financial management • Value for money • Counter fraud and corruption • Partnerships and collaborations • Assurance framework • Financial reporting • Annual governance statement • Internal audit • External audit • Treasury management (where applicable) • Performance assessment (Wales) (where applicable) 	All members of the committee, tailored appropriately to existing knowledge.
Briefings and guidance	<p>Targeted support for members on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • aspects where members need additional support, eg guidance on understanding the financial statements • the development of more in-depth knowledge and understanding • ad hoc briefings on new developments in the sector (for example CIPFA’s audit committee update resource, horizon scanning updates from external auditors, etc) • updates on new developments or changes at the authority. 	<p>All members.</p> <p>Co-opted independent members.</p>
Webinars, training and networking events	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attending relevant webinars or events to develop more in-depth knowledge or updates. • Networking and sharing with audit committee members in other authorities to learn from others and build a network of support. • A range of formal events and webinars are available from a range of providers, eg regional forums. 	Chairs and all members

Training needs analysis

It is helpful to undertake an analysis of training needs to identify which areas should be prioritised for training and support. Some of the core areas involve technical standards and are complex – for example, financial reporting, audit quality and treasury management. These will need regular attention to maintain committee effectiveness.

The knowledge framework can help with a training needs analysis. It can be done at any point, but the annual assessment should reflect on whether the analysis is up to date and the planned programme of support sufficient to address the priority areas.

Impact and effectiveness

To develop the committee and provide assurance via the annual report, it is recommended that the committee is supported in a self-assessment. Alternatively, an independent review can be undertaken.

The appendices provide resources to support self-assessment and to encourage reflection on the impact that the committee has.

CIPFA has identified key indicators to inform the performance review of the audit committee. These indicators are all aspects of governance, risk, control and audit that are within the audit committee's scope of influence. While they are not solely within the control of the audit committee, weakness in these areas could indicate that the audit committee is failing to have sufficient impact.

Problem solving

Where self-assessment indicates problems, or where those interacting with the committee report it to be under-performing, swift diagnosis and action should be planned. Appendix D highlights some areas of difficulty the committee might experience. Usually, problems arise because of misapprehension of the committee's role or inadequate training or support. Occasionally, behaviours from individual members can also contribute. These issues may be more difficult to resolve, especially if they are symptomatic of wider governance problems in the authority.

Significant weakness in the audit committee is likely to be highlighted by the external auditor as part of their governance review or as part of a peer review.

Sector and devolved government guidance

PART 1: COMPARISON OF SECTOR AND DEVOLVED GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCE ON KEY AREAS RELATING TO AUDIT COMMITTEES

Guidance area	England (combined authorities)	Wales (local authorities and corporate joint committees)	England and Wales Police	England, Northern Ireland and Scotland (local authorities)
Specific legislation or statutory guidance	<u>Cities and Local Government Devolution Act 2016.</u> <u>Combined Authorities (Overview and Scrutiny Committees, Access to Information and Audit Committees) Order 2017.</u>	<u>Local Government (Wales) Measure 2011</u> as amended by the <u>Local Government (Democracy) (Wales) Act 2013.</u> <u>Local Government and Elections (Wales) Act 2021.</u>	<u>Financial Management Code of Practice for the Police Forces of England and Wales (FMCP)</u> (Home Office, 2018).	Not applicable
Establishment of an audit committee	Required	Required	Required It is recommended that this should be a combined body for both PCC and chief constable.	No guidance
Composition of the audit committee	Committees must have at least one independent person as defined by guidance. The committee should reflect the political balance of the constituent authorities as far as is reasonably practical. The committee may not include an officer of the combined authority or a constituent council.	The committee must have lay persons comprise one-third of its members. The member appointed as the committee chair must be a lay person. The deputy chair must not be a member of the executive or an assistant to its executive. The committee must be politically balanced.	Police audit committees should comprise between three and five members who are independent of the PCC and the force.	No guidance

Guidance area	England (combined authorities)	Wales (local authorities and corporate joint committees)	England and Wales Police	England, Northern Ireland and Scotland (local authorities)
Specified functions of the audit committee	<p>Review and scrutinise the authority's financial affairs.</p> <p>Review and assess the authority's risk management, internal control and corporate governance arrangements.</p> <p>Review and assess the economy, efficiency and effectiveness with which resources have been used in discharging the authority's functions.</p> <p>Make reports and recommendations to the combined authority.</p>	<p>Review and scrutinise the authority's financial affairs.</p> <p>Make reports and recommendations in relation to the authority's financial affairs.</p> <p>Review and assess the risk management, internal control, performance assessment and corporate governance arrangements of the authority.</p> <p>Make reports and recommendations to the authority on the adequacy and effectiveness of those arrangements.</p> <p>Review and assess the authority's ability to handle complaints effectively.</p> <p>Make reports and recommendations on the authority's ability to handle complaints effectively.</p> <p>Oversee the authority's internal and external audit arrangements.</p> <p>Review the financial statements prepared by the authority.</p>	<p>Consider the internal and external audit reports of both the PCC and the chief constable.</p> <p>Advise the PCC and the chief constable according to good governance principles and adopt appropriate risk management arrangements in accordance with proper practices.</p>	No guidance
Responsibilities of the audit committee in relation to external audit	No guidance	Oversee external audit arrangements.	Review external audit reports.	No guidance

PART 2: GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE BY SECTOR AND DEVOLVED GOVERNMENT ON MATTERS THAT MAY BE INCLUDED IN AUDIT COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE

Accounts and audit regulations are statutory instruments issued by the UK or devolved governments. The various regulations impose requirements on 'relevant bodies' – eg a local authority, fire and rescue authority or police body – in relation to governance, internal control, financial reporting and internal audit.

The accounts and audit regulations do not specify that these requirements must be met by an audit committee. However, where it is the audit committee of a relevant body that undertakes or reviews the specified task, the audit committee must meet the requirements of the regulations and take them into account in agreeing their terms of reference.

The following is a link for each sector and/or region and the sets of regulations affecting them, highlighting key regulations. The regulations are subject to periodic updates by the appropriate government body, and audit committee members should be made aware of any changes by their organisation.

Local authorities in England (including combined authorities and fire and rescue authorities)	
Relevant government guidance	<u>Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2015</u>
Local authorities in Wales	
Relevant government guidance	<u>Accounts and Audit Regulations (Wales) 2014</u> and the <u>Accounts and Audit (Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2018</u>
Local authorities in Scotland	
Relevant government guidance	<u>Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014</u>
Local authorities in Northern Ireland	
Relevant government guidance	<u>Local Government (Accounts and Audit) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2015</u>
Police in England	
Relevant government guidance	<u>Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2015</u> (see also the statutory guidance <u>Financial Management Code of Practice for the Police Forces of England and Wales</u> (FMCP) (Home Office, 2018))
Police in Wales	
Relevant government guidance	<u>Accounts and Audit Regulations (Wales) 2014</u> and the <u>Accounts and Audit (Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2018</u> (see also the <u>FMCP</u>)

Financial reporting deadlines

Amendments affecting the timetables for financial and governance reporting have been introduced to allow for difficulties caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, and in England, difficulties with local audit. Committee members are advised to check the latest positions.

Suggested terms of reference – local authority

INTRODUCTION

This appendix contains suggested terms of reference for local authorities.

In developing the terms of reference for an organisation, care should be taken to ensure that the specific regulations appropriate for the authority are taken into account. Appendix A sets out these requirements. Additional functions should be included where required.

Where the terms of reference refer to internal audit, regard should be had for how the internal audit charter has allocated responsibilities to the committee. The audit committee's terms of reference and the audit charter should align.

SUGGESTED TERMS OF REFERENCE – LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Governance

The terms of reference should set out the committee's position in the governance structure of the authority.

Statement of purpose

The committee's purpose is to provide an independent and high-level focus on the adequacy of governance, risk and control arrangements. Its role in ensuring there is sufficient assurance over governance, risk and control gives greater confidence to all those charged with governance [or *insert appropriate governing body*] that those arrangements are effective.

The committee has oversight of both internal and external audit, together with the financial and governance reports, helping to ensure there are adequate arrangements in place for both internal challenge and public accountability.

Governance, risk and control

- To review the council's corporate governance arrangements against the good governance framework, including the ethical framework, and consider the local code of governance.
- To monitor the effective development and operation of risk management in the council.
- To monitor progress in addressing risk-related issues reported to the committee.
- To consider reports on the effectiveness of internal controls and monitor the implementation of agreed actions.

- To consider reports on the effectiveness of financial management arrangements, including compliance with CIPFA's **Financial Management Code**.
- To consider the council's arrangements to secure value for money and review assurances and assessments on the effectiveness of these arrangements.
- To review the assessment of fraud risks and potential harm to the council from fraud and corruption.
- To monitor the counter fraud strategy, actions and resources.
- To review the governance and assurance arrangements for significant partnerships or collaborations.

Financial and governance reporting

Governance reporting

- To review the AGS prior to approval and consider whether it properly reflects the risk environment and supporting assurances, including the head of internal audit's annual opinion.
- To consider whether the annual evaluation for the AGS fairly concludes that governance arrangements are fit for purpose, supporting the achievement of the authority's objectives.

Financial reporting

- To monitor the arrangements and preparations for financial reporting to ensure that statutory requirements and professional standards can be met.
- To review the annual statement of accounts. Specifically, to consider whether appropriate accounting policies have been followed and whether there are concerns arising from the financial statements or from the audit that need to be brought to the attention of the council.
- To consider the external auditor's report to those charged with governance on issues arising from the audit of the accounts.

Arrangements for audit and assurance

To consider the council's framework of assurance and ensure that it adequately addresses the risks and priorities of the council.

External audit

- To support the independence of external audit through consideration of the external auditor's annual assessment of its independence and review of any issues raised by PSAA or the authority's auditor panel as appropriate.
- To consider the external auditor's annual letter, relevant reports and the report to those charged with governance.
- To consider specific reports as agreed with the external auditor.
- To comment on the scope and depth of external audit work and to ensure it gives value for money.
- To consider additional commissions of work from external audit.

- To advise and recommend on the effectiveness of relationships between external and internal audit and other inspection agencies or relevant bodies.
- To provide free and unfettered access to the audit committee chair for the auditors, including the opportunity for a private meeting with the committee.

Internal audit

- To approve the internal audit charter.
- To review proposals made in relation to the appointment of external providers of internal audit services and to make recommendations.
- To approve the risk-based internal audit plan, including internal audit's resource requirements, the approach to using other sources of assurance and any work required to place reliance upon those other sources.
- To approve significant interim changes to the risk-based internal audit plan and resource requirements.
- To make appropriate enquiries of both management and the head of internal audit to determine if there are any inappropriate scope or resource limitations.
- To consider any impairments to the independence or objectivity of the head of internal audit arising from additional roles or responsibilities outside of internal auditing and to approve and periodically review safeguards to limit such impairments.
- To consider reports from the head of internal audit on internal audit's performance during the year, including the performance of external providers of internal audit services. These will include:
 - updates on the work of internal audit, including key findings, issues of concern and action in hand as a result of internal audit work
 - regular reports on the results of the QAIP
 - reports on instances where the internal audit function does not conform to the PSIAS and LGAN, considering whether the non-conformance is significant enough that it must be included in the AGS.
- To consider the head of internal audit's annual report, including:
 - the statement of the level of conformance with the PSIAS and LGAN and the results of the QAIP that support the statement (these will indicate the reliability of the conclusions of internal audit)
 - the opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the council's framework of governance, risk management and control, together with the summary of the work supporting the opinion (these will assist the committee in reviewing the AGS).
- To consider summaries of specific internal audit reports as requested.
- To receive reports outlining the action taken where the head of internal audit has concluded that management has accepted a level of risk that may be unacceptable to the authority or there are concerns about progress with the implementation of agreed actions.
- To contribute to the QAIP and in particular to the external quality assessment of internal audit that takes place at least once every five years.
- To consider a report on the effectiveness of internal audit to support the AGS where required to do so by the accounts and audit regulations (see Appendix A).

- To provide free and unfettered access to the audit committee chair for the head of internal audit, including the opportunity for a private meeting with the committee.

Accountability arrangements

- To report to those charged with governance on the committee's findings, conclusions and recommendations concerning the adequacy and effectiveness of their governance, risk management and internal control frameworks, financial reporting arrangements and internal and external audit functions.
- To report to full council on a regular basis on the committee's performance in relation to the terms of reference and the effectiveness of the committee in meeting its purpose.
- To publish an annual report on the work of the committee, including a conclusion on the compliance with the **CIPFA Position Statement**.

Suggested terms of reference – police

INTRODUCTION

This appendix contains suggested terms of reference for the audit committee of the PCC and chief constable. The police audit committee must ensure that its terms of reference are in accordance with the FMCP (Home Office, 2018) and remain an advisory body.

In developing the terms of reference for an organisation, care should be taken to ensure that the specific regulations appropriate for the authority are taken into account. Appendix A sets out these requirements. Additional functions should be included where required.

Where the terms of reference refer to internal audit, regard should be had for how the internal audit charter has allocated responsibilities to the committee. The audit committee's terms of reference and the audit charter should align.

SUGGESTED TERMS OF REFERENCE – AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE PCC AND CHIEF CONSTABLE

Governance

The terms of reference should set out the committee's position as an advisory committee to support the PCC and chief constable.

Statement of purpose

The committee's purpose is to provide an independent and high-level focus on the adequacy of governance, risk and control arrangements. Its role in ensuring there is sufficient assurance over governance risk and control gives greater confidence to the PCC and chief constable that those arrangements are effective.

The committee has oversight of both internal and external audit, together with the financial and governance reports, helping to ensure there are adequate arrangements in place for both internal challenge and public accountability.

Governance, risk and control

- To review the council's corporate governance arrangements against the good governance framework, including the ethical framework, and consider the local code of governance.
- To monitor the effective development and operation of risk management in the OPCC and force.

- To monitor progress in addressing risk-related issues reported to the committee.
- To consider reports on the effectiveness of internal controls and monitor the implementation of agreed actions.
- To consider reports on the effectiveness of financial management arrangements, including compliance with CIPFA's **Financial Management Code**.
- To consider the OPCC's and force's arrangements to secure value for money and review assurances and assessments on the effectiveness of these arrangements.
- To review the assessment of fraud risks and potential harm to the OPCC and force from fraud and corruption.
- To monitor the counter fraud strategy, actions and resources.
- To review the governance and assurance arrangements for significant partnerships or collaborations.

Financial and governance reporting

Governance reporting

- To review the AGS prior to approval by the PCC and chief constable and consider whether it properly reflects the risk environment and supporting assurances, including the head of internal audit's annual opinion.
- To consider whether the annual evaluation for the AGS fairly concludes that governance arrangements are fit for purpose, supporting the achievement of the OPCC's and force's objectives.

Financial reporting

- To monitor the arrangements and preparations for financial reporting to ensure that statutory requirements and professional standards can be met.
- To review the annual statements of accounts. Specifically, to consider whether appropriate accounting policies have been followed and whether there are concerns arising from the financial statements or from the audit that need to be brought to the attention of the council.
- To consider the external auditor's reports to the PCC and the chief constable on issues arising from the audit of the accounts.

Arrangements for audit and assurance

To consider the OPCC's and force's framework of assurance and ensure that it adequately addresses their risks and priorities.

External audit

- To support the independence of external audit through consideration of the external auditor's annual assessment of its independence and review of any issues raised by PSAA or the auditor panel as appropriate.
- To consider the external auditor's annual letter, relevant reports and the report to those charged with governance.
- To consider specific reports as agreed with the external auditor.

- To comment on the scope and depth of external audit work and to ensure it gives value for money.
- To advise on commissions of additional work from external audit.
- To advise and recommend on the effectiveness of relationships between external and internal audit and other inspection agencies or relevant bodies.
- To provide free and unfettered access to the audit committee chair for the auditors, including the opportunity for a private meeting with the committee.

Internal audit

- To recommend for approval the internal audit charter.
- To review proposals made in relation to the appointment of external providers of internal audit services and to make recommendations.
- To review the risk-based internal audit plan, including internal audit's resource requirements, the approach to using other sources of assurance and any work required to place reliance upon those other sources.
- To consider significant interim changes to the risk-based internal audit plan and resource requirements.
- To make appropriate enquiries of both management and the head of internal audit to determine if there are any inappropriate scope or resource limitations.
- To consider any impairments to the independence or objectivity of the head of internal audit arising from additional roles or responsibilities outside of internal auditing and to recommend and periodically review safeguards to limit such impairments.
- To consider reports from the head of internal audit on internal audit's performance during the year, including the performance of external providers of internal audit services. These will include:
 - updates on the work of internal audit, including key findings, issues of concern and action in hand as a result of internal audit work
 - regular reports on the results of the QAIP
 - reports on instances where the internal audit function does not conform to the PSIAS and LGAN, considering whether the non-conformance is significant enough that it must be included in the AGS.
- To consider the head of internal audit's annual report, including:
 - the statement of the level of conformance with the PSIAS and LGAN and the results of the QAIP that support the statement (these will indicate the reliability of the conclusions of internal audit)
 - the opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the council's framework of governance, risk management and control, together with the summary of the work supporting the opinion (these will assist the committee in reviewing the AGS).
- To consider summaries of specific internal audit reports as requested.
- To receive reports outlining the action taken where the head of internal audit has concluded that management has accepted a level of risk that may be unacceptable to the OPCC or force, or where there are concerns about progress with the implementation of agreed actions.

- To contribute to the QAIP and in particular to the external quality assessment of internal audit that takes place at least once every five years.
- To consider a report on the effectiveness of internal audit to support the AGS where required to do so by the accounts and audit regulations (see Appendix A).
- To provide free and unfettered access to the audit committee chair for the head of internal audit, including the opportunity for a private meeting with the committee.

Accountability arrangements

- To report to the PCC and chief constable on the committee's findings, conclusions and recommendations concerning the adequacy and effectiveness of their governance, risk management and internal control frameworks, financial reporting arrangements and internal and external audit functions.
- To report to the PCC and chief constable on a regular basis on the committee's performance in relation to the terms of reference and the effectiveness of the committee in meeting its purpose.
- To publish an annual report on the work of the committee, including a conclusion on the compliance with the CIPFA Position Statement.

Audit committee members – knowledge and skills framework

CORE AREAS OF KNOWLEDGE

Knowledge area	Details of core knowledge required	How the audit committee member is able to apply the knowledge
Organisational knowledge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An overview of the authority's governance structures and decision-making processes. Knowledge of the organisational objectives and major functions of the authority. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This knowledge will be core to most of the audit committee's activities, including the AGS review, internal and external audit reports and risk registers.
Audit committee role and functions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An understanding of the audit committee's role and place within the governance structures. Familiarity with the committee's terms of reference and accountability arrangements. Knowledge of the purpose and role of the audit committee. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This knowledge will enable the audit committee to prioritise its work to ensure it discharges its responsibilities under its terms of reference and to avoid overlapping the work of others. It will help the committee undertake a self-assessment and prepare its annual report.
Governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Knowledge of the seven principles as outlined in <u>Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework</u> (CIPFA/Solace, 2016). The requirements of the AGS. How the principles of governance are implemented locally as set out in the local code of governance. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The committee will review the local code of governance and consider how governance arrangements align to the principles in the Framework. The committee will plan the assurances it is to receive to adequately support the AGS. The committee will review the AGS and consider how the authority is meeting the principles of good governance. The committee will receive audit reports and information on risks relating to governance.

Knowledge area	Details of core knowledge required	How the audit committee member is able to apply the knowledge
Internal audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An awareness of the key principles of the PSIAS and the LGAN. • Knowledge of the arrangements for delivery of the internal audit service in the authority and the charter. • How the role of the head of internal audit is fulfilled. • Details of the most recent external assessment and level of conformance with the standards. • Internal audit's strategy, plan and most recent annual opinion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The audit committee has oversight of the internal audit function and will monitor its adherence to professional internal audit standards. • The audit committee will review the assurances from internal audit work and will review the risk-based audit plan. • The committee will also receive the annual report, including an opinion and information on conformance with professional standards. • In relying on the work of internal audit, the committee will need to be confident that professional standards are being followed. • The audit committee chair is likely to be interviewed as part of the external quality assessment, and the committee will receive the outcome of the assessment and action plan.
Financial management and financial reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Awareness of the financial statements that a local authority must produce and the principles it must follow to produce them. • An understanding of good financial management practice as set out in the CIPFA <u>Financial Management Code</u> (FM Code) and the level of compliance with it. • Knowledge of how the organisation meets the requirements of the role of the CFO as required by <u>The Role of the Chief Financial Officer in Local Government</u> (CIPFA, 2016) and <u>The Role of CFOs in Policing</u> (2021). • An overview of the principal financial risks the authority faces. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing the financial statements prior to publication, asking questions. • Receiving the external audit report and opinion on the financial audit. • Reviewing both external and internal audit recommendations relating to financial management and controls. • The audit committee should consider compliance with the FM Code and the role of the CFO and how this is met when reviewing the AGS.
External audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of the role and functions of the external auditor and who currently undertakes this role. • Knowledge of the key reports and assurances that external audit will provide. • Familiarity with the auditor's most recent plan and the opinion reports. • Knowledge about arrangements for the appointment of auditors and quality management undertaken. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The audit committee will meet with the external auditor regularly and receive their reports and opinions. • Monitoring external audit recommendations and maximising the benefit from the audit process. • The audit committee should monitor the relationship between the external auditor and the authority and support the delivery of an effective service.

Knowledge area	Details of core knowledge required	How the audit committee member is able to apply the knowledge
Risk management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding of the principles of risk management, including how it supports good governance and decision making. • Knowledge of the risk management policy and strategy of the organisation. • Understanding of risk governance arrangements, including the role of members and of the audit committee. • Knowledge of the current risk maturity of the organisation and any key areas of improvement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In reviewing the AGS, the committee will consider the robustness of the authority's risk management arrangements. • Awareness of the major risks the authority faces is necessary to support the review of several audit committee agenda items, including the risk-based internal audit plan, external audit plans and the explanatory foreword of the accounts. Typically, risk registers will be used to inform the committee. • The committee should also review reports and action plans to develop the application of risk management practice.
Counter fraud	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An understanding of the main areas of fraud and corruption risk that the organisation is exposed to. • Knowledge of the principles of good fraud risk management practice in accordance with the <u>Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption</u> (CIPFA, 2014). • Knowledge of the organisation's arrangements for tackling fraud. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of fraud risks and good fraud risk management practice will be helpful when the committee reviews the organisation's fraud strategy and receives reports on the effectiveness of that strategy. • An assessment of arrangements should support the AGS, and knowledge of good fraud risk management practice will support the audit committee member in reviewing that assessment.
Values of good governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of the Seven Principles of Public Life. • Knowledge of the authority's key arrangements to uphold ethical standards for both members and staff (eg code of conduct). • Knowledge of the whistleblowing arrangements in the authority. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The audit committee member will draw on this knowledge when reviewing governance issues and the AGS. • Oversight of the effectiveness of whistleblowing will be considered as part of the AGS. The audit committee member should know to whom concerns should be reported.
Treasury management (only if it is within the terms of reference of the committee to provide scrutiny)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Effective Scrutiny of Treasury Management</u> is an assessment tool for reviewing the arrangements for undertaking scrutiny of treasury management. The key knowledge areas identified are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – regulatory requirements – treasury risks – the organisation's treasury management strategy – the organisation's policies and procedures in relation to treasury management. <p>See also <u>Treasure your assets</u> (Centre for Governance and Scrutiny, 2017).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core knowledge on treasury management is essential for the committee undertaking the role of scrutiny.

SPECIALIST KNOWLEDGE THAT ADDS VALUE TO THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

More specialist knowledge will add value to the committee, helping to ensure the committee is able to achieve a greater depth of understanding. Including members with specialist knowledge means there is an additional resource to support other members. Specialist knowledge may be demonstrated by professional qualification and prior work experience.

When reviewing the overall knowledge of audit committee members or when planning the appointment of co-opted independent members, it is helpful to look for opportunities to include the following specialisms:

- Accountancy, with experience of financial reporting
- Internal auditing
- Risk management
- Governance and legal
- Expert service knowledge relevant for the organisation
- IT systems and security

CORE SKILLS

As well as technical knowledge, the following skills will enhance an audit committee member's aptitude to be on the committee.

Skills	Key elements	How the audit committee member is able to apply the skill
Strategic thinking and understanding of materiality	Able to focus on material issues and the overall position rather than being side tracked by detail.	When reviewing audit reports, findings will include areas of higher risk or materiality to the organisation but may also highlight more minor errors or control failures. The audit committee member will need to pitch their review at an appropriate level to avoid spending too much time on detail.
Questioning and constructive challenge	Able to frame questions that draw out relevant facts and explanations, challenging performance and seeking explanations while avoiding hostility or grandstanding.	The audit committee will review reports and recommendations to address weaknesses in internal control. The audit committee member will seek to understand the reasons for weaknesses and ensure a solution is found.
Focus on improvement	Ensuring there is a clear plan of action and allocation of responsibility.	The audit committee's outcome will be to secure improvements to the governance, risk management or control of the organisation, including clearly defined actions and responsibilities. Where errors or control failures have occurred, the audit committee should seek assurances that appropriate action has been taken.
Able to balance practicality against theory	Able to understand the practical implications of recommendations to understand how they might work in practice.	The audit committee should seek assurances that planned actions are practical and realistic.
Clear communication skills and focus on the needs of users	Support the use of plain English in communications, avoiding jargon, acronyms, etc.	The audit committee will seek to ensure that external documents such as the AGS and the narrative report in the accounts are well written for a non-expert audience.
Objectivity	Evaluate information based on evidence presented, avoiding bias or subjectivity.	The audit committee will receive assurance reports and review risk registers. There may be differences of opinion about the significance of risk and the appropriate control responses, and the committee member will need to weigh up differing views.
Meeting management skills	Chair the meetings effectively: summarise issues raised, ensure all participants can contribute, and focus on the outcome and actions from the meeting.	These skills are essential for the audit committee chair to help ensure that meetings stay on track and address the items on the agenda. The skills are desirable for all other members.

Problem-solving difficulties with the audit committee

It is not uncommon for audit committees to face difficulties or barriers in fulfilling their potential effectiveness. Some of these may be common issues that audit committees in any sector may face; others may be unique to the local authority or police setting. The following assessment may be of value in helping audit committee members or those supporting the committee to recognise and address the challenges.

Areas of difficulty	Possible causes	Possible improvement options
Lack of experience and continuity of knowledge among audit committee members.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where turnover of membership is very frequent, it will be difficult for the committee to build up experience. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced level of support and training to members will be required. To enhance continuity, the authority should consider recruitment of independent members.
Audit committee members do not feel confident in their knowledge of particular areas.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of training and support. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced level of support and training to members.
Independent members lack knowledge of the organisation and lack connections with key managers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor induction. Limited opportunities to engage with the organisation outside of formal meetings. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve induction. Identify appropriate meetings, briefings or other opportunities that independent members could attend to help develop better understanding.
Poor management of audit committee meetings means that work is unfocused or fails to reach a clear conclusion.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of experience or skill in managing meetings by the chair. Committee members are unsure about their role. Poor support from the committee secretary. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training and support. Develop a mentoring/coaching programme. Chair seeks feedback from meeting participants. Consider skills and experience in the selection of the chair. Provide training and guidance to committee members on their role. Improve committee support.
The audit committee spends too much time on minor details rather than underlying arrangements of governance, risk and control.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agenda management fails to prioritise key areas. The chair does not intervene to keep the focus at an appropriate level. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review the process of agenda development. Review the terms of reference and provide training. The chair seeks feedback from meeting participants. Provide the chair with committee management training.

Areas of difficulty	Possible causes	Possible improvement options
The committee lacks focus, and members struggle to gain an overall understanding of their remit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee members have a range of objectives rather than focusing on governance, risk, control and audit matters. • The committee is too large or lacks continuity because of regular attendance by substitutes. • Infrequent attendance by senior officers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training and emphasis on the non-political and strategic focus of the committee. • Reform of the committee structure to reduce membership and remove use of substitutes.
Senior officers do not understand the work of the committee and are not sighted on its output.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The audit committee fails to engage with other committees in the authority. • Attendance is often limited to the CFO and the head of internal audit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expand attendance at audit committee meetings – for example, invite heads of service when major risks or control issues are being discussed – and share the CIPFA guidance (FAQs) more widely.
Elected representatives not directly involved with the committee have little understanding of its work and do not see its output.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee recommendations are not directed to appropriate member bodies. • Reporting arrangements are not effective. • Wider induction arrangements do not cover the audit committee. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Invite newly elected members to attend audit committee meetings. • Review reporting and accountability arrangements. • Prepare an annual report that sets out how the committee has fulfilled its responsibilities. • Share the CIPFA guidance (FAQs).
Recommendations made by the audit committee are not actioned.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A poor relationship between the committee and the executive or senior officers. • The audit committee's recommendations are not adequately aligned to organisational objectives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A senior officer provides internal facilitation to support improved relationships. • Improve knowledge and skills among audit committee members. • Ensure better engagement with appropriate managers or the executive at an earlier stage.
The audit committee fails to make recommendations or follow up on issues of concern.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A weak or inexperienced chair. • Members are inexperienced or do not fully understand their role. • Poor briefing arrangements prior to meetings. • Committee reports fail to adequately identify the action required by the committee. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide guidance and support. • Improve briefing to the chair prior to the meeting. • Ensure reports contain clear recommendations.
The audit committee strays beyond its terms of reference – for example, undertaking a scrutiny role.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The terms of reference do not adequately scope the work of the committee. • Misunderstanding about the role of the committee. • Inadequate guidance from the committee secretary to the chair on its role. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the terms of reference and provide training and guidance.

Areas of difficulty	Possible causes	Possible improvement options
Political points of view interfere with the work of the audit committee.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A lack of understanding about the role of the committee. • Difficulty separating the work of the committee from the wider politics of authority. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seek feedback from those interacting with the committee or external assessment. • Provide support or training for the chair. • Consider the role of independent members.
A breakdown in the relationship between committee members and the executive, PCC or chief constable/deputy chief constable, or with senior management.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A lack of understanding about the role of the committee. • Differing perceptions on the value of the committee • Personality clashes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the terms of reference and provide training and guidance. • A senior officer provides internal facilitation to support improved relationships. • Seek an external assessment or facilitation. • Change the chair or membership if the constitution or opportunity arises.

Self-assessment of good practice

This appendix provides a high-level review that incorporates the key principles set out in CIPFA's Position Statement and this publication. Where an audit committee has a high degree of performance against the good practice principles, it is an indicator that the committee is soundly based and has in place a knowledgeable membership. These are the essential factors in developing an effective audit committee.

A regular self-assessment should be used to support the planning of the audit committee work programme and training plans. It will also inform the annual report.

Good practice questions	Does not comply	Partially complies and extent of improvement needed*			Fully complies
	Major improvement	Significant improvement	Moderate improvement	Minor improvement	No further improvement
Weighting of answers	0	1	2	3	5

Audit committee purpose and governance

1	Does the authority have a dedicated audit committee that is not combined with other functions (eg standards, ethics, scrutiny)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Does the audit committee report directly to the governing body (PCC and chief constable/full council/full fire authority, etc)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Has the committee maintained its advisory role by not taking on any decision-making powers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Do the terms of reference clearly set out the purpose of the committee in accordance with CIPFA's 2022 Position Statement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Do all those charged with governance and in leadership roles have a good understanding of the role and purpose of the committee?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Does the audit committee escalate issues and concerns promptly to those in governance and leadership roles?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Does the governing body hold the audit committee to account for its performance at least annually?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

* Where the committee does not fully comply with an element, three options are available to allow distinctions between aspects that require significant improvement and those only requiring minor changes.

Good practice questions	Does not comply	Partially complies and extent of improvement needed			Fully complies
	Major improvement	Significant improvement	Moderate improvement	Minor improvement	No further improvement
Weighting of answers	0	1	2	3	5
8 Does the committee publish an annual report in accordance with the 2022 guidance, including:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> compliance with the CIPFA Position Statement 2022 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> results of the annual evaluation, development work undertaken and planned improvements 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> how it has fulfilled its terms of reference and the key issues escalated in the year? 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Functions of the committee					
9 Do the committee's terms of reference explicitly address all the core areas identified in CIPFA's Position Statement as follows?					
Governance arrangements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Risk management arrangements	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Internal control arrangements, including:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> financial management value for money ethics and standards counter fraud and corruption 					
Annual governance statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Financial reporting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Assurance framework	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Internal audit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
External audit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10 Over the last year, has adequate consideration been given to all core areas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11 Over the last year, has the committee only considered agenda items that align with its core functions or selected wider functions, as set out in the 2022 guidance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12 Has the committee met privately with the external auditors and head of internal audit in the last year?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Good practice questions	Does not comply					Partially complies and extent of improvement needed					Fully complies														
	Major improvement					Significant improvement					Moderate improvement					Minor improvement					No further improvement				
Weighting of answers	0					1					2					3					5				

Membership and support

13 Has the committee been established in accordance with the 2022 guidance as follows?															
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Separation from executive 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A size that is not unwieldy and avoids use of substitutes 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusion of lay/co-opted independent members in accordance with legislation or CIPFA's recommendation 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14 Have all committee members been appointed or selected to ensure a committee membership that is knowledgeable and skilled?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15 Has an evaluation of knowledge, skills and the training needs of the chair and committee members been carried out within the last two years?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16 Have regular training and support arrangements been put in place covering the areas set out in the 2022 guidance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17 Across the committee membership, is there a satisfactory level of knowledge, as set out in the 2022 guidance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support provided to the committee?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFO?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Effectiveness of the committee

20 Has the committee obtained positive feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21 Are meetings well chaired, ensuring key agenda items are addressed with a focus on improvement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23 Has the committee maintained a non-political approach to discussions throughout?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Good practice questions	Does not comply	Partially complies and extent of improvement needed			Fully complies
	Major improvement	Significant improvement	Moderate improvement	Minor improvement	No further improvement
Weighting of answers	0	1	2	3	5
24 Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control arrangements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
26 Do audit committee recommendations have traction with those in leadership roles?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27 Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28 Does the committee have an action plan to improve any areas of weakness?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
29 Has this assessment been undertaken collaboratively with the audit committee members?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Subtotal score	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total score					
Maximum possible score					200**


** 40 questions/sub-questions multiplied by five.

Evaluating the impact and effectiveness of the audit committee

An audit committee's effectiveness should be judged by the contribution it makes to and the beneficial impact it has on the authority's business. Since it is primarily an advisory body, it can be more difficult to identify how the audit committee has made a difference. Evidence of effectiveness will usually be characterised as 'influence', 'persuasion' and 'support'.

The improvement tool below can be used to support a review of effectiveness. It identifies the broad areas where an effective audit committee will have impact.

Figure 1: The influential audit committee



The table includes examples of what the audit committee might do to have impact in each of these areas.

The third area includes key indicators that might be expected to be in place if arrangements are in fact effective. These indicators are not directly within the control of the audit committee, as it is an advisory body. They do provide an indication that the authority has put in place adequate and effective arrangements, which is the purpose of the committee.

Use the tool for discussion and evaluation of the strengths and weakness of the committee, identifying areas for improvement.

Areas where the audit committee can have impact by supporting improvement	Examples of how the audit committee can demonstrate its impact	Key indicators of effective arrangements	Your evaluation: strengths, weaknesses and proposed actions
<p>Promoting the principles of good governance and their application to decision making.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supporting the development of a local code of governance. • Providing a robust review of the AGS and the assurances underpinning it. • Supporting reviews/audits of governance arrangements. • Participating in self-assessments of governance arrangements. • Working with partner audit committees to review governance arrangements in partnerships. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elected members, the leadership team and senior managers all share a good understanding of governance, including the key principles and local arrangements. • Local arrangements for governance have been clearly set out in an up-to-date local code. • The authority's scrutiny arrangements are forward looking and constructive. • Appropriate governance arrangements established for all collaborations and arm's-length arrangements. • The head of internal audit's annual opinion on governance is satisfactory (or similar wording). 	
<p>Contributing to the development of an effective control environment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encouraging ownership of the internal control framework by appropriate managers. • Actively monitoring the implementation of recommendations from auditors. • Raising significant concerns over controls with appropriate senior managers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The head of internal audit's annual opinion over internal control is that arrangements are satisfactory. • Assessments against control frameworks such as CIPFA's FM Code have been completed and a high level of compliance identified. • Control frameworks are in place and operating effectively for key control areas – for example, information security or procurement. 	

Areas where the audit committee can have impact by supporting improvement	Examples of how the audit committee can demonstrate its impact	Key indicators of effective arrangements	Your evaluation: strengths, weaknesses and proposed actions
Supporting the establishment of arrangements for the governance of risk and for effective arrangements to manage risks.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewing risk management arrangements and their effectiveness, eg risk management maturity or benchmarking. Monitoring improvements to risk management. Reviewing accountability of risk owners for major/ strategic risks. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A robust process for managing risk is evidenced by independent assurance from internal audit or external review. 	
Advising on the adequacy of the assurance framework and considering whether assurance is deployed efficiently and effectively.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewing the adequacy of the leadership team's assurance framework. Specifying the committee's assurance needs, identifying gaps or overlaps in assurance. Seeking to streamline assurance gathering and reporting. Reviewing the effectiveness of assurance providers, eg internal audit, risk management, external audit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authority's leadership team have defined an appropriate framework of assurance, including core arrangements, major service areas and collaborations and external bodies. 	
Supporting effective external audit, with a focus on high quality and timely audit work.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewing and supporting external audit arrangements with focus on independence and quality. Providing good engagement on external audit plans and reports. Supporting the implementation of audit recommendations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quality of liaison between external audit and the authority is satisfactory. The auditors deliver in accordance with their audit plan and any amendments are well explained. An audit of high quality is delivered. 	
Supporting the quality of the internal audit activity, in particular underpinning its organisational independence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewing the audit charter and functional reporting arrangements. Assessing the effectiveness of internal audit arrangements, providing constructive challenge and supporting improvements. Actively supporting the quality assurance and improvement programme of internal audit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal audit that is in conformance with PSIAS and LGAN (as evidenced by the most recent external assessment and an annual self-assessment). The head of internal audit and the organisation operate in accordance with the principles of the CIPFA <u>Statement on the Role of the Head of Internal Audit</u> (2019). 	

Areas where the audit committee can have impact by supporting improvement	Examples of how the audit committee can demonstrate its impact	Key indicators of effective arrangements	Your evaluation: strengths, weaknesses and proposed actions
<p>Aiding the achievement of the authority's goals and objectives by helping to ensure appropriate governance, risk, control and assurance arrangements.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing how the governance arrangements support the achievement of sustainable outcomes. • Reviewing major projects and programmes to ensure that governance and assurance arrangements are in place. • Reviewing the effectiveness of performance management arrangements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inspection reports indicate that arrangements are appropriate to support the achievement of service objectives. • The authority's arrangements to review and assess performance are satisfactory. 	
<p>Supporting the development of robust arrangements for ensuring value for money.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensuring that assurance on value-for-money arrangements is included in the assurances received by the audit committee. • Considering how performance in value for money is evaluated as part of the AGS. • Following up issues raised by external audit in their value-for-money work. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External audit's assessments of arrangements to support best value are satisfactory. 	
<p>Helping the authority to implement the values of good governance, including effective arrangements for countering fraud and corruption risks.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing arrangements against the standards set out in the <i>Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption</i> (CIPFA, 2014). • Reviewing fraud risks and the effectiveness of the organisation's strategy to address those risks. • Assessing the effectiveness of ethical governance arrangements for both staff and governors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good ethical standards are maintained by both elected representatives and officers. This is evidenced by robust assurance over culture, ethics and counter fraud arrangements. 	

Areas where the audit committee can have impact by supporting improvement	Examples of how the audit committee can demonstrate its impact	Key indicators of effective arrangements	Your evaluation: strengths, weaknesses and proposed actions
<p>Promoting effective public reporting to the authority's stakeholders and local community and measures to improve transparency and accountability.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working with key members/ the PCC and chief constable to improve their understanding of the AGS and their contribution to it. Improving how the authority discharges its responsibilities for public reporting – for example, better targeting the audience and use of plain English. Reviewing whether decision making through partnership organisations remains transparent and publicly accessible and encourages greater transparency. Publishing an annual report from the committee. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authority meets the statutory deadlines for financial reporting with accounts for audit of an appropriate quality. The external auditor completed the audit of the financial statements with minimal adjustments and an unqualified opinion. The authority has published its financial statements and AGS in accordance with statutory guidelines. The AGS is underpinned by a robust evaluation and is an accurate assessment of the adequacy of governance arrangements. 	

OVERALL QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER

- 1 Does the committee proactively seek assurance over the key indicators?
- 2 How proactive is the committee in responding to aspects of governance, risk, control and audit that need change or improvement?
- 3 Are recommendations from the committee taken seriously by those responsible for taking action?

REPORTING RESULTS

The outcome of the review can be used to inform the committee's annual report.

77 Mansell Street, London E1 8AN
+44 (0)20 7543 5600

cipfa.org/

10/2022